IN THE FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:)			
)			
OPEN MEETING				
)			
)			
)			

Federal Housing Finance Board Second Floor 1777 F Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

Wednesday, December 20, 2000

The parties met, pursuant to the notice, at

10:03 a.m.

APPEARNACES:

WILLIAM C. APGAR, ACTING CHAIRMAN J. TIMOTHY O'NEILL, DIRECTOR FRANZ S. LEICHTER, DIRECTOR JAMES L. BOTHWELL NEIL R. CROWLEY DEBORAH F. SILBERMAN SCOTT L. SMITH

	-		
1	PROCEEDINGS		
2	(10:03 a.m.)		
3	MR. APGAR: We just have one item on our agenda		
4	today, the final rule on capital requirements for the		
5	Federal Home Loan Banks. And, Jim, could you summarize the		
6	ruling? I know Scott probably		
7	MR. BOTHWELL: Yes.		
8	MR. APGAR: Only the rules.		
9	MR. BOTHWELL: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Good morning,		
10	and good morning, Director O'Neill, Director Leichter. As		
11	you are aware, there is one item on the agenda for the		
12	Board's consideration today. In the context of the Federal		
13	Home Loan Bank's System 68 year history, one might even say		
14	it was a very historic item on the agenda today, which is		
15	the adoption of the final rule to implement an entirely new		
16	risk-based and permanent and capital structure for the		
17	Federal Home Loan Banks.		
18	Before I ask Scott Smith, who is the acting		
19	director of the Policy Office, and Neil Crowley, our deputy		
20	general counsel, to present this item, I would just like to		
21	note that the rule is a product of an extremely extensive		
22	and intensive effort on the part of the entire Finance Board		

capital requirements. Once implemented, these requirements

staff to develop a state-of-the-art set of risk-based

should help to ensure the safety and soundness of the

23

24

25

- 1 Federal Home Loan Bank System for years to come.
- This rule is also the last Finance Board action
- 3 necessary to implement all of the major provisions of Title
- 4 VI of Gramm-Leach-Bliley legislation, which as you know was
- 5 enacted on November 12th of last year. So with the adoption
- of this final rule of the Finance Board, we'll have all the
- 7 major requirements of this landmark legislation in just
- 8 slightly over one year's time, which I believe is quite an
- 9 achievement for the Finance Board.
- 10 So without further fanfare, I would like to ask
- 11 Scott and Neil to present the rule for the Board's
- 12 consideration this morning.
- MR. SMITH: Thank you, Jim, and good morning, Mr.
- 14 Chairman and Directors Leichter and O'Neill. Staff is
- 15 requesting that the Board of Directors consider and approve
- 16 the final rule on capital requirements for the Federal Home
- 17 Loan Banks. This rule will implement an entirely new
- 18 capital structure for the Banks as required by the Gramm-
- 19 Leach-Bliley Act.
- 20 Specifically, the rule addresses the different
- 21 classes of stock that a Bank may issue and the rights and
- 22 preferences associated with each class of stock that a Bank
- 23 may impose. It also establishes new requirements for total
- 24 capital and risk-based capital and addresses the capital
- 25 structure plans that each Bank must submit for Finance Board

1 approval.

2 As envisioned by the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and as presented in the final rule, the new capital structure for 3 4 the Federal Home Loan Bank System will modernize the existing capital structure in a number of important ways. 5 Central to this modernization of the Bank's capital 6 7 structure is the introduction of a risk-based capital 8 requirement. When fully implemented, the risk-based capital 9 requirement will establish a direct relationship between 10 each Bank's capital and risk level, replacing the current subscription capital structure where the amount of capital 11 each Bank is required to hold bears little relationship to 12 the risk posed by the Bank's activities. 13 14 Furthermore, Gramm-Leach-Bliley established four 15 principles on how the risk-based capital requirement is to be determined and provided the Finance Board the flexibility 16 to design in the final rule a state-of-the-art risk-based 17 18 capital requirement and then to update that design as 19 The fact that the rule applies only to the 12 needed. 20 Federal Home Loan Banks, where all have similar business 21 activities and are required to maintain a double-A or better

based capital requirement design that is based on objective measures of the actual credit and market risks faced by the

stand-alone rating of financial strength, allowed for risk-

25 Banks.

22

23

24

1	To provide permanent capital, which must be used
2	to meet the risk-based capital requirement and is required
3	by Gramm-Leach-Bliley, the rule authorizes the Banks to
4	issue Class B stock, which derives its currency from the
5	fact that it is redeemable only on five years notice to the
6	Bank, whereas the current stock is redeemable on six months
7	notice.
8	The rule and Gramm-Leach-Bliley also authorize the
9	Banks to issue Class A stock, which is redeemable on six
LO	months notice, and therefore not considered permanent
L1	capital, but nonetheless provides the Banks with flexibility
12	to efficiently manage their capital in meeting the Gramm-
L3	Leach-Bliley requirements incorporated in the rule.
L4	Flexibility is also provided by Gramm-Leach-Bliley and in
L5	the rule with respect to an activity-based stock purchase
16	requirement.
L 7	Currently, in pre-Gramm-Leach-Bliley and by pre-
1.8	Gramm-Leach-Bliley statute, it exists stock requirement
L9	advancing, notably excluding anything else. This
20	requirement is not appropriately calibrated to the risk of
21	the advances. In the final rule, the Banks are given the
22	flexibility, with Finance Board approval, to design
23	activity-based stock purchase requirements that can be
24	applied to all activities, including AMA loan purchases, and
25	that are calibrated to the risk of the activity.

1	During the comment period on the proposed rule,			
2	which ended November 20th, the Finance Board received over			
3	140 comments on the proposed rule. In addition, the Finance			
4	Board has been proactive in obtaining comments through			
5	meetings and conferences with the Banks, members, and many			
6	of the associations that represent members. Overall, the			
7	comments suggested changes that were intended to achieve			
8	greater commonality among the capital structure plans of the			
9	different Banks to preserve the cooperative nature of the			
10	System, also to improve the workability of the plans and to			
11	maintain flexibility to accommodate the evolving nature of			
12	business activities.			
13	The final rule reflects many changes from the			
14	proposed rule based upon the comments received. To achieve			
15	some degree of commonality and reinforce the cooperative			
16	nature of the System, the final rule now requires both Class			
17	A and B stock to be issued, repurchased, or redeemed at par,			
18	where par is established by each Bank and their capital			
19	plan. Furthermore, no class of stock may be traded between			
20	members or at a negotiated price, and the design of the			
21	directors and I'm sorry, and the designation of directors			
22	and voting for directors shall be in accordance with			
23	existing rules as modified to accommodate two classes of			
24	stock instead of one, and modified to allow the Banks an			
25	ability to impose a voting preference.			

1	Also, the final rule now prohibits a Bank from			
2	imposing membership fees in lieu of any minimum stock			
3	purchase requirement. To achieve workability, particularly			
4	with respect to the ability of the Banks to manage their			
5	capital levels, the final rule now requires that Banks shall			
6	require that members must hold any activity-based stock			
7	purchases for the period in which the activity is			
8	outstanding.			
9	Also, the final rule now allows the Banks at their			
10	discretion to repurchase stock at par, much as the Banks do			
11	now under current rules. And eliminated from the final rule			
12	are any limitations on the requirements of members to			
13	purchase activity-based stock, as were contained in the			
14	proposed rule associated with operating capital ratios and			
15	through limits on stock ownership.			
16	With regard to the risk-based capital standards,			
17	the final rule now contains clarifying language and approved			
18	methods for determining risk-based capital charges.			
19	Finally, to add flexibility, the rule now permits			
20	Banks to require the purchase of either Class A or B stock			
21	rather than just Class A stock to satisfy any membership			
22	based stock requirement. In addition, the final rule no			
23	longer requires that Class A stock receive a stated dividend			
24	or that it have priority over Class B; instead, to leave			
25	such decisions up to each Bank to address in their capital			

- 1 plans.
- 2 The final rule and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act
- 3 together require that there exists some degree of
- 4 commonality among the different Bank capital plans.
- 5 Nonetheless, the rule also affords the Bank significant
- 6 flexibility to design a capital structure that best meets
- 7 the needs of their membership. Should the Board approve the
- 8 final rule, as specified in the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, the
- 9 Banks will have 270 days from the date of publication of the
- rule in the <u>Federal Register</u> to submit their capital plans
- 11 to the Finance Board for approval.
- During the approval process, staff will be
- examining the Bank's individual plans to ensure that they
- 14 comply with the requirements of Gramm-Leach-Bliley and the
- final rule and do not inadvertently introduce any elements
- of instability into the System.
- We would be happy to answer any questions.
- 18 MR. APGAR: All right. Now as you know, I have a
- 19 related proposal to this rulemaking, which I have already
- shared with both of my colleagues here, and it relates to
- 21 the Board's willingness to and our ability to respond to
- 22 unforeseen issues that may arise as the rule is put into
- 23 place. We understand this is a complex undertaking, and
- 24 that the individual -- both the members and the Banks will
- 25 perhaps identify issues not contemplated by the rule as they

- 1 go into the process of finalizing their capital plans. And
- we wanted to make sure we maintain flexibility on our part
- 3 to address any possible concerns going forward.
- 4 So I'm going to withhold this proposal back -- so
- 5 we can first move to the business at hand of -- voting on
- 6 the capital rule itself. So any questions to lead off with
- 7 in terms of proposed rule?
- 8 MR. LEICHTER: I don't have any questions. I
- 9 spent a good deal of time with the staff reviewing the
- 10 rules, and my questions have been answered. I do have some
- 11 comments I want to make, but I'll make it afterwards.
- 12 Director O'Neill had some questions.
- MR. APGAR: So we can have a quiz as to whether
- 14 you know the top ten issues that we have talked on and on
- 15 and on about.
- 16 MR. LEICHTER: That's the old professor coming out
- 17 in the Chairman.
- 18 (Laughter)
- 19 MR. LEICHTER: He likes to give tests.
- MR. APGAR: There you go.
- MR. LEICHTER: But short answer or essay?
- 22 MR. APGAR: But as you heard in the presentation
- of the rule itself, there are obviously some themes that we
- heard over and over again in our public meetings and in the
- comments through all this the importance of commonality and

- 1 maintaining the cooperative nature of the System, and the
- 2 kind of responses that we made there, I think, are
- 3 reflective of those concerns.
- 4 Ultimately, they had to be workable for the Banks,
- 5 flexible. This whole era is devolving significant
- 6 authorities to the Banks, maybe more authorities than they
- 7 are prepared to use at present. But whatever they have,
- 8 they have to have the flexibility to do it in a framework of
- 9 rulemaking that makes it workable, and they also -- the old
- adage of keeping it simple and making sure that in this
- 11 period of transition there are some simple pathways that
- 12 Banks can utilize in order to move to the new era of risk-
- 13 based capital.
- 14 So I think those were the heart of many of the
- comments we got. And each of the individual adjustments
- that careful readers will see between the original rule and
- 17 the proposed rule and the final rule reflect those kind of
- 18 adjustments. So I think it makes the rule an enhanced
- 19 vehicle. There is a good reason why you have notice and
- 20 comment rulemaking, because the rule can improve when other
- 21 people look and comment on it.
- 22 So do you have any thoughts or observations?
- MR. O'NEILL: Well, just a comment. I think Scott
- 24 Smith had a word that has epitomized this whole process,
- which is proactive. When I thought to myself that the

- 1 comment period was closing on November 20th, and we were
- 2 going to vote on it on December 20th, I said there is no way
- 3 that the staff could look over all of the comments, put
- 4 together a comment summary, change the rule, and turn it
- 5 around in one month.
- 6 But I think the reason that they have and they
- 7 have done the usual superb job is that they have been
- 8 proactive. And I hope that this regulation will point us in
- 9 the way of the future because not only did the staff do a
- 10 lot of good work, but the staff worked with the Banks and
- the members and non-for-profits, and so this is not
- 12 something that we did by ourselves and are now for the first
- time unleashing on the Banks. The Banks have looked at this
- 14 and have made the comments. We have taken a lot of the
- 15 comments.
- So I want to compliment the staff not only --but
- for being proactive because they worked very hard. I want
- 18 to thank the staff for all that they did.
- 19 MR. APGAR: Any further comments? Before we vote.
- 20 MR. LEICHTER: Yeah. I know, we talked about the
- 21 Federal Home Loan Bank System being a cooperative System.
- 22 And I think the rule that we are about to enact maintains
- 23 the cooperative nature of the System. It evolved and is
- 24 being adopted today -- cooperative nature of the System. We
- 25 would not be able to accomplish this without -- Federal

- 1 Housing Finance Board, then the cooperation and the work of
- 2 the Banks and its presidents, the contribution -- and all of
- 3 the commentators.
- 4 So it really was a cooperative effort that brought
- 5 this. I think we're adopting a rule which carries out the
- 6 letter and the spirit of Gramm-Leach-Bliley. And I think
- 7 this heralds an era for the Federal Home Loan Bank System by
- 8 the foundation for the System to further its mission.
- 9 The capital plans which -- able to will replicate
- 10 features of the present System which -- of the System are
- 11 familiar with and features and characteristics which have
- permitted the System's dramatic growth in recent years. At
- the same time, we are creating new opportunities and
- 14 potential for the System to enhance its service and provide
- 15 liquidity to America's financial institutions.
- The new capital plans for which the rule permits
- and will be formulated pursuant to its provisions will
- 18 hopefully also advance the System's ability to support
- 19 community development staff. To paraphrase a quote of
- 20 Churchill, this is not the end of the process or even the
- beginning of the end, but it is the end of the beginning.
- 22 What this board has made clear and what the Chairman-Elect
- O'Neill had said is that we're going to be ready to continue
- 24 to work cooperatively with all of the participants to see
- 25 that the rule is fine tuned as the Banks -- that we need to

- 1 address. And I think we have put in place a mechanism which
- 2 is going to allow us to do this in an orderly manner and to
- 3 be very responsive to the Banks as they work to formulate
- 4 their capital plans.
- I just want to point out that while we may have
- 6 taken a little more time than Congress initially set, the
- 7 fact that we did this so -- in such an expeditious manner --
- 8 and I think it was expeditious. I just compare this to what
- 9 I read yesterday of a sister regulatory agency that I think
- 10 after eight years was able to accomplish a mandate that was
- 11 given to it to produce -- relations that we're enacting
- 12 today.
- Now I say this not to criticize the sister agency
- 14 but to say what a wonderful and excellent job really the
- staff has done. I mentioned before the staff generically,
- 16 and they certainly all deserve great credit, and so many
- 17 people labored anonymously. But I just want to express my
- 18 personal appreciation because of the help they have given me
- in understanding the rule and for the work they have done,
- 20 Jim Bothwell and Scott Smith and Neil Crowley and Deborah
- 21 Silberman.
- Again, not to in any way diminish the work that
- 23 all of their supporting staff did, it really was a
- 24 remarkable achievement. And, Mr. Chairman, I just must
- 25 acknowledge your leadership in this. And I think among the

- 1 many contributions is that you set a tone which I think
- 2 enabled this process to move ahead in this cooperative
- 3 fashion. And I think without that having been done, it
- 4 wouldn't have been achieved. And Director O'Neill, who
- 5 brings so much knowledge and wisdom to this process, and
- 6 certainly his great help to me in working through these
- 7 capital rules.
- I just want to point out that when I first came on
- 9 the board, which was the beginning of August, the capital
- 10 rules loomed like some terrible storm on the horizon that
- was going to break over the System, and the feeling was
- 12 there was no way that we could do this for many months. And
- 13 there was a great deal of concern. And all of those clouds
- have really dissipated, and we have seen that we have been
- able to come up with these capital rules. And I think they
- will really provide the basis to not only modernize the
- 17 System but to strengthen it.
- So I'm very pleased to join with my fellow
- 19 directors and with everybody in voting in favor of these
- 20 rules.
- 21 MR. O'NEILL: Can I just add two additional
- 22 things? One -- actually, both points following up on what
- 23 Franz said. First, I was remiss in not recognizing the role
- 24 of the Chairman. I agree with Franz that there is a whole
- 25 new atmosphere not only at the Finance Board but throughout

- 1 the whole Federal Home Loan Bank System. And I think that
- that is attributable to you. And I want to thank you for
- 3 that. I think that there is a new attitude, and I think
- 4 that everybody has prospered under the new attitude.
- 5 The second thing is that Franz is right, that this
- is not the end of the process by any means. And we have a
- 7 resolution to go with the approval of this. And what that
- 8 resolution says is by the end of February that the staff
- 9 would put together advanced notice of proposed rulemaking
- about all of the things that are left to do. And I think
- 11 that sends a great signal to the System that we're not just
- 12 saying, oh, our work now is finished. It is all to you to
- implement this, that we are going to work cooperatively with
- 14 the Banks and the members to continue to make this the
- 15 absolute best rule that it could be.
- So I think that is a great signal to send
- everybody, that this is not the end of our work. So I think
- 18 that is very important to send that signal.
- 19 MR. APGAR: Great. Any other comments or
- 20 questions before we take a vote? If not, the vote occurs on
- 21 the adoption of the final capital rule. All in favor of
- 22 adoption of the final rule, please say aye.
- MR. O'NEILL: Aye.
- MR. LEICHTER: Aye.
- 25 MR. APGAR: Any opposed? I quess not. The ayes

- 1 have it, and the final capital rule is adopted. Great. I
- 2 ask unanimous consent that staff be permitted to make
- 3 technical and conforming changes in the rule. Without
- 4 objection, so ordered.
- I just wanted to sort of characterize a little bit
- 6 about the resolution. There has been a lot of talk and, of
- 7 course, consternation. We talked about the kind of Catch-22
- 8 quality of what we are doing. Without a detailed capital
- 9 rule, of course, individual Banks cannot begin to finalize
- 10 their capital plan. Without finalizing the capital plan,
- 11 some important accounting and tax rulings would be
- 12 forthcoming. Of course, other regulatory agencies might
- have actions that they could contemplate could affect the
- 14 implementation of the final rules. So there is lots of
- issues here that have to occur simultaneously.
- So we wanted to signal, as we have been signalling
- throughout, our intention to stay flexible, keep an open
- 18 mind, and continue the work of the Banks as they move
- 19 through this next 270 day transition period. So in order to
- do that, you know, we have conducted an open process, and we
- 21 think the rule reflects well this process. But it won't be
- 22 perfect. There will be things that are unanticipated that
- 23 will occur. We want to keep our options open as to how to
- respond to that, and the question of what is the appropriate
- 25 mechanism to do that so that we will be able to quickly

- 1 respond to any issues that might arise.
- 2 And we have drafted a proposal. So I offer this
- 3 proposal, which is in the form of a resolution to the Board.
- 4 It does two things. First, it commits to the task of
- 5 monitoring the tax accounting and other issues association
- 6 with the capital rule, and to the extent consistent with our
- 7 primary duty, to ensure the safety and soundness of the
- 8 Banks, to act promptly to address those issues as they
- 9 arise; and second, to direct the staff to develop for the
- 10 Board's consideration -- and again it is noted no later than
- 11 February 28, 2001 -- an advanced notice of proposed
- 12 rulemaking to seek information and comment on any issues
- about the capital rule or capital planning process where
- 14 actions of these other regulatory agents -- or anything at
- all that would affect the smooth implementation of the rule,
- 16 as was adopted today.
- 17 I know you have reviewed this. More
- appropriately, you have both helped write this. So -- but
- 19 if there is any comments or discussions on this proposal, it
- 20 has been distributed to you both. So ---
- 21 MR. O'NEILL: No. I think it is perfect the way
- 22 it is.
- 23 MR. APGAR: Perfect the way it is, okay. So we
- come out on a high note on that. Okay. If there are no
- 25 objections to this perfect resolution, the vote occurs on

```
the Board resolution. All in favor, please say aye.
1
                MR. O'NEILL: Aye.
2
                MR. LEICHTER: Aye.
3
                MR. APGAR: And no opposed. So the resolution is
4
5
     adopted. And thanks again for the work. And I guess the
     new era of the Federal Home Loan Bank System and the new
6
     work of the Federal Housing Finance Board is begun.
7
     meeting is adjourned.
8
                (Whereupon, at 10:28 a.m., the meeting was
9
10
     adjourned.)
11
     //
12
      //
      //
13
14
     //
15
      //
      11
16
17
      11
      //
18
19
      //
20
      11
      11
21
      11
22
23
      //
      //
24
      //
25
```

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE		
2			
3	DOCKET NO.: N/A		
4	CASE TITLE: CAPI	TAL REQUIREMENTS FOR FHL BANKS	
5	HEARING DATE: Dece	ember 20, 2000	
6	LOCATION: Wash	nington, DC	
7			
8	I hereby cert	cify that the proceedings and evidence are	
9	contained fully and	d accurately on the tapes and notes	
10	reported by me at t	the hearing in the above case before the	
11	Federal Housing Fir	nance Board.	
12			
13			
14		Date: December 20, 2000	
15		Habriel Homes	
16		Gabriel Thomas	
17		Official Reporter	
18		Heritage Reporting Corporation	
19		Suite 600	
20		1220 L Street, N. W.	
21		Washington, D. C. 20005-4018	
22			
23			
24			
25			